

23

12



Europäisches Patentamt  
European Patent Office  
Office européen des brevets



Publication number : **0 450 899 A2**

(12)

## EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION

(21) Application number : **91302857.7**

(51) Int. Cl.<sup>5</sup> : **B01D 39/20**

(22) Date of filing : **02.04.91**

(30) Priority : **30.03.90 JP 86787/90**

(43) Date of publication of application :  
**09.10.91 Bulletin 91/41**

(84) Designated Contracting States :  
**BE DE FR GB IT SE**

(71) Applicant : **NGK INSULATORS, LTD.**  
**2-56, Suda-cho, Mizuho-ku**  
**Nagoya City Aichi Pref. (JP)**

(72) Inventor : **Hamaguchi, Kunikazu**  
**15 Takeda-cho 2-chome, Mizuho-ku**  
**Nagoya-shi, Aichi-ken (JP)**  
Inventor : **Kumazawa, Kuzuhiko**  
**34 Takemi-cho 1-chome, Mizuho-ku**  
**Nagoya-shi, Aichi-ken (JP)**  
Inventor : **Asami, Seiichi**  
**1-110 Aza-Kamitokosafu, Motojuku-cho**  
**Okazaki-shi, Aichi-ken (JP)**

(74) Representative : **Stoner, Gerard Patrick et al**  
**Mewburn Ellis 2 Cursitor Street**  
**London EC4A 1BQ (GB)**

(54) Method of producing porous ceramic filter, using cordierite composition including talc and silica powders.

(57) A method of producing a porous ceramic filter, using a cordierite composition including a talc powder component and a silica powder component, such that particles of the talc powder component and the silica powder component whose size is not less than 150 $\mu$ m constitute not more than 3% by weight of the cordierite composition, while particles of the talc and silica powder components whose size is not more than 45 $\mu$ m constitute not more than 25% by weight of the cordierite composition. A green body for the porous ceramic honeycomb filter formed of this cordierite composition is fired into the desired porous ceramic honeycomb filter.

EP 0 450 899 A2

Jouve, 18, rue Saint-Denis, 75001 PARIS

EP 0 450 899 A2

**BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION****Field of the Invention**

5 The present invention relates to a method of producing a porous ceramic filter formed of a cordierite composition, and more particularly to a method of producing such ceramic filter suitable for removing soot or other particulate matters contained in exhaust gases emitted by a diesel engine.

**Discussion of the Prior Art**

10 Recently, various types of porous honeycomb filters using a cordierite honeycomb structure with porous partition walls have been proposed as a porous ceramic filter capable of functioning to filter fluids such as gases. For example, porous honeycomb filters are used as a so-called "diesel particulate filter" for removing particulate matters from exhaust gases emitted by a diesel engine. These diesel particulate filters are roughly classified  
15 into a high-trapping-efficiency type, and a low-trapping-efficiency type. These two types are selectively employed depending upon the specific requirement.

As a porous ceramic honeycomb filter having improved filtering capability, laid-open Publication No. 61-129015 of unexamined Japanese Patent Application proposes an exhaust emission purifying filter in which the  
20 size of the pores formed adjacent to the surfaces of the partition walls of the honeycomb structure is specifically controlled. Described more particularly, those pores consist of relatively small pores whose diameters fall within a range of 5-40 $\mu$ m, and relatively large pores whose diameters fall within a range of 40-100 $\mu$ m. This exhaust emission purifying filter is prepared from a ceramic composition with which a suitable foaming or blowing agent is mixed. A desired green honeycomb structure formed of this ceramic composition is fired at an elevated temperature, whereby pores are formed in the partition walls of the fired honeycomb structure, due to heating of  
25 the ceramic composition in the presence of the foaming agent mixed therein.

Laid-open Publication No. 61-54750 of examined Japanese Patent Application discloses porous honeycomb filters in a wide range of trapping capacity from a high-trapping-efficiency type to a low-trapping-efficiency type. The porous honeycomb filters disclosed therein have controlled open porosities (ratio of a volume of open pores to that of non-open pores) and controlled average sizes of the pores. Further, laid-open Publication No. 58-70814 of unexamined Japanese Patent Application teaches that the pressure loss of a porous  
30 ceramic honeycomb filter can be lowered by forming the partition walls of the honeycomb structure with large pores having 100 $\mu$ m or larger sizes, for example.

Generally, the following three characteristics are important in determining the overall filtering function or capability of a porous ceramic honeycomb filter. These characteristics are: a) trapping efficiency (ratio of the  
35 particulate matters removed from a subject fluid, to those not removed); b) pressure loss (amount of pressure drop of the subject fluid flowing through the filter); and c) nominal operation time (time duration from the commencement of use of the filter to the time at which the pressure loss increases to an upper limit). In this respect, it is significant to note that the trapping efficiency is proportional to the pressure loss. Namely, an increase in the trapping efficiency results in an undesirable increase in the pressure loss, and a consequent decrease in the operation time. If the filter is adapted for a comparatively reduced amount of pressure loss, the operation  
40 time can be prolonged, but the trapping efficiency is unfavorably lowered.

The most important characteristic of the porous ceramic honeycomb filter is the trapping time, i.e., the time duration for which the filter can operate with the pressure loss held below the permissible upper limit. For the reason indicated above, however, it has been considered difficult to increase the trapping time while maintaining  
45 a sufficiently high trapping efficiency. In this respect, it is noted that an increase in the nominal operation time of a porous ceramic honeycomb filter means a decrease in the required volume of the filter for a specific application, and the decrease in the required volume contributes to an improvement in the thermal shock or stress resistance of the filter. Therefore, it is desirable to increase the operation time (life expectancy) of the filter, particularly where the contaminated or clogged filter can be reclaimed by burning out the contaminants or particulate matters, as in the case of the diesel particulate filter used for a diesel engine.  
50

**SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION**

55 It is therefore an object of the present invention to provide a method of producing an improved porous ceramic filter which has a prolonged nominal operation time and a reduced pressure loss, while maintaining a sufficiently high trapping efficiency.

There is provided according to the principle of the present invention a method of producing a porous ceramic honeycomb filter comprising the steps of (a) preparing a cordierite composition including a talc powder

EP 0 450 899 A2

component and a silica powder component, such that particles of the talc powder component and the silica powder component whose size is not less than 150 $\mu$ m constitute not more than 3% by weight of the cordierite composition, while particles of the talc and silica powder components whose size is not more than 45 $\mu$ m constitute not more than 25% by weight of the cordierite composition; (b) forming a green body for the porous ceramic filter, by using the prepared cordierite composition; and (c) firing the green body in the porous ceramic honeycomb filter.

The method of the present invention which uses the cordierite composition prepared as described above permits the production of a porous ceramic honeycomb filter whose major crystal phase is cordierite and whose pores consist principally of pores having diameters of 10-50 $\mu$ m, with a small number of pores whose diameters are 100 $\mu$ m or more. This porous ceramic honeycomb filter is given a relatively long nominal operation time, without lowering the filtering efficiency. It is recognized that the pores whose diameters are not less than 100 $\mu$ m greatly influence the trapping efficiency and the pressure loss, namely, an increase in the number of the pores whose diameters are 100 $\mu$ m or more will considerably reduce the filtering efficiency. It is also noted that the pores whose diameters fall within a range of 10-50 $\mu$ m contribute to an increase in the operation time of the filter, and to a decrease in the pressure loss. More specifically, the pressure loss of a fluid flowing through the filter can be minimized to effectively remove the particulate matters from the fluid, by increasing the number of such relatively small pores of 10-50 $\mu$ m. In other words, the trapping function or capability of the porous ceramic honeycomb filter can be substantially improved, by increasing the number of such small pores of 10-50 $\mu$ m, while controlling the number of the pores whose sizes are smaller than 10 $\mu$ m or larger than 100 $\mu$ m, as described above. Thus, the porous ceramic honeycomb filter produced according to the present method has a prolonged operation time with a reduced pressure loss, while maintaining a high trapping efficiency (90% or more). The present method is particularly suitable for producing a porous ceramic honeycomb filter for emissions from a diesel engine, i.e., "diesel particulate filter".

The porous ceramic honeycomb filter produced according to the method of the present invention generally has an open porosity of 45-60%, a pore volume of not more than 10% which consists of the pores whose diameters are 100 $\mu$ m or more, and a pore volume of not less than 65% which consists of the pores whose diameters fall within a range of 10-50 $\mu$ m. Preferably, the pore volume provided by the pores whose diameters are 100 $\mu$ m or more is 5% or less, while the pore volume provided by the pores whose diameters are 10-50 $\mu$ m is 70% or more. If the open porosity of the filter is less than 45%, the operation time tends to be shortened with a relatively large pressure loss of the subject fluid, even if the pore size is controlled as described above. If the open porosity of the filter exceeds 60%, the mechanical strength of the filter is insufficient, and a pore-forming agent such as graphite must be used in a large amount, which unfavorably increases the required firing time and consequently the required production cycle time.

According to the method of the present invention for producing a porous ceramic honeycomb filter, there is first prepared a cordierite composition which includes a talc powder component such as talc or calcined talc, and a silica powder component such as non-crystalline silica, and which further includes suitable additives such as kaoline, calcined kaoline, alumina and aluminum hydroxide. Generally, the cordierite composition comprises 42-56% by weight of SiO<sub>2</sub>, 30-45% by weight of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, and 12-16% by weight of MgO.

This cordierite composition is prepared according to the principle of the invention, such that the particles of the talc powder and the silica powder whose size is 150 $\mu$ m or larger constitute 3% or less by weight of the entire cordierite composition, while the particles of the talc and silica powders whose size is 45 $\mu$ m or less constitute 25% or less by weight of the entire cordierite composition. The use of the thus prepared cordierite composition permits the produced porous ceramic honeycomb filter to have an effectively increased nominal operation time with a limited increase in the pressure loss, as well as a trapping efficiency as high as 90% or more. The volume of the pores having 100 $\mu$ m or larger sizes can be further reduced while the volume of the pores having 10-50 $\mu$ m sizes can be increased, if the talc and silica particles whose size is 150 $\mu$ m or more constitute not more than 1% by weight of the entire cordierite composition and the particles whose size is 45 $\mu$ m or less constitute not more than 20% by weight of the entire cordierite composition.

For adjusting the porosity and other properties of the filter to be produced, a pore-forming agent such as graphite is added to the cordierite composition prepared as described above. Further, a plasticizer and a binder which are conventionally used are added to the obtained mixture, to plasticize the mixture into a formable batch for extrusion. By using the thus prepared batch, a green honeycomb body for the desired porous ceramic honeycomb filter is formed by extrusion. The green body is dried, and the dried green body is fired at a temperature between 1380°C and 1440°C. In this way, the desired porous ceramic body is produced.

The pores are formed in the fired filter, due to firing reaction of the cordierite composition, based primarily on the framework constituted by the talc powder component such as talc or calcined talc and the silica powder component such as non-crystalline silica. In particular, the use of the silica powder component which is typically non-crystalline silica facilitates the adjustment of the pore size, since the silica powder remains stable at a

EP 0 450 899 A2

higher temperature than the other materials, and is melted and diffused at 1350°C or higher. The silica powder permits the formation of the pores having a relatively constant size, which corresponds to the particle size of the starting powder.

While the pores are formed based on the framework constituted by the pore-forming agent and other additives as well as the talc and silica powder components, the process in which the pores are formed can be explained as follows. Initially, the pore-forming agent such as graphite disappears or is burnt out at a temperature in the neighborhood of 1000°C. Then, the reaction of the talc powder occurs, and a liquid phase of cordierite appears at a temperature of about 1280°C or higher, causing minute pores to be formed by the framework of the talc powder component. A subsequent reaction of this component will cause reduction of the framework. On the other hand, the silica powder component is melted and diffused at a further elevated temperature of about 1350°C or higher, creating minute pores. Therefore, the size of the pores formed later by the framework of the silica powder controls the size of the pores formed in the filter produced, such that the substantially constant size of the pores in the filter corresponds to the particle size of the silica powder. For forming the pores whose sizes fall within a range of 10-50µm, it is particularly desirable to use the silica powder whose average particle size ranges from 30µm to 50µm.

In the case of a porous ceramic honeycomb filter like the "diesel particulate filter", it is important to reduce the coefficient of thermal expansion and increase the resistance to thermal stresses. Such porous ceramic honeycomb filter is heat-treated to burn out the contaminants such as soot deposited on the porous partition walls, when the pressure loss of the subject fluid reaches a permissible upper limit during use. In this instance, there is a possibility of cracking of the filter due to different temperatures within the body of the filter during the firing to burn out the contaminants. In view of this possibility, it is required to reduce the thermal expansion coefficient and thermal stress resistance of the filter. Where the porous ceramic honeycomb filter is formed of a cordierite composition, the filter is generally required to have a relatively large pore size and a relatively high porosity, for assuring an adequate relationship among the filtering efficiency, pressure loss and operation time (life expectancy). In the case of a raw cordierite material, however, the cordierite composition is required to have a relatively large particle size, which makes it difficult to reduce the thermal expansion coefficient of the filter produced.

As indicated above, the use of a silica powder component (e.g., non-crystalline silica) whose average particle size is 30-50µm is effective to control the pore size distribution of the produced filter so as to increase the number of the 10-50µm pores. If the same object is to be attained by means of the talc powder component, the average particle size of the talc powder should be as large as 100µm or more. In this case, too, the filter suffers from an excessively high coefficient of thermal expansion.

In view of the above, it is preferable to use the talc powder component whose average particle size is 80µm or less. Further, it is recommended to use the silica powder component whose average particle size ranges from 30µm to 50µm, so that the number of the 10-50µm pores is increased. In other words, the thermal expansion coefficient of the filter produced is considerably high, when the average particle size of the talc powder is 100µm or more. To avoid this, the talc powder composition is adjusted so that the average particle size is as small as possible, and the maximum average particle size of the talc powder is preferably 80µm, as indicated above. Further, the non-crystalline silica or other silica powder is adjusted to assure a relatively large number of the 10-50µm pores. If a porous filter having a honeycomb structure is formed from the cordierite composition adjusted as described above, the coefficient of thermal expansion of the filter is reduced to 0.8 or smaller along the A axis, and 1.4 or smaller along the B axis. Further, the filter is capable of exhibiting a sufficiently high thermal shock resistance at a temperature of 850°C or higher, where the filter has an outside diameter of 118mm and a height of 150mm.

It will be generally understood from the above explanation that the thermal expansion of the ceramic filter prepared from a cordierite composition is largely affected by the particle size of the talc powder, while some filters such as the diesel particulate filter which have a large pore size inherently require the use of a talc powder whose particle size is relatively large, which results in increasing the thermal expansion coefficient of the filter produced. According to the present invention, however, the pores having a relatively large size are formed by using the silica powder (non-crystalline silica) having a particle size larger than that of the conventionally used silica powder, while the thermal expansion coefficient of the filter is lowered by using the talc powder having a comparatively small particle size. The use of the relatively coarse silica powder whose average particle size is 30-50µm permits the use of a relatively fine talc powder, thereby making it possible to suitably control the size of the minute pores formed in the filter produced.

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The above and optional objects, features and advantages of the present invention will be better understood

EP 0 450 899 A2

by reading the following detailed description of the present invention, when considered in connection with the accompanying drawings, in which:

- Fig. 1(a) is a front elevational view of an example of a porous ceramic honeycomb structure produced according to one embodiment of the present invention;
- Fig. 1(b) is a side elevational view partly in cross section of the honeycomb structure of Fig. 1(a);
- Fig. 2(a) is a front elevational view of a porous ceramic honeycomb filter obtained from the honeycomb structure of Figs. 1(a) and 1(b);
- Fig. 2(b) is a side elevational view partly in cross section of the honeycomb filter of Fig. 2(a); and
- Fig. 3 is a graph indicating a relationship between the nominal operation time and the percent of 10-50 $\mu$ m pores of the specimens of the honeycomb filter in Example 1 whose trapping efficiency is 90% or higher.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

Referring to Figs. 1(a) and 1(b), there is shown one example of a porous ceramic honeycomb structure 1 which is produced by forming a green body from a cordierite composition prepared as described above and firing the green body. The green body is formed and fired in a known manner. As shown in the front and side elevational views of Figs. 1(a) and 1(b), the porous ceramic honeycomb structure 1 has porous ceramic partition walls 3 which cooperate to define a multiplicity of channels 2. As known in the art, these channels 2 are closed at one of opposite ends of the honeycomb structure 1, by suitable plugs 4, as indicated in the front and side elevational views of Figs. 2(a) and 2(b), whereby a porous ceramic honeycomb filter 5 is produced.

To further clarify the principle of the present invention, there will be illustrated some examples of the invention. It is to be understood, however, that the invention is not limited to the details of the illustrated examples, and that the invention may be embodied with various changes and modifications which may occur to those skilled in the art, in view of the foregoing and following teachings.

#### EXAMPLE 1

Components as indicated in TABLES 1-1 and 1-2 were mixed together, to prepare batch Nos. 1 through 37 as specified in TABLES 2-1 through 2-6. To each batch, there were added 4.0 parts by weight of methyl cellulose per 100 parts by weight of the batch material, and water. The mixture was kneaded into the corresponding green batch for extrusion. The thus prepared green batches were extruded in a known manner, to produce respective green cylindrical honeycomb structures. Each green honeycomb structure has a rib (partition wall) thickness of 430 $\mu$ m, 16 cells per square centimeter ( $\text{cm}^2$ ), a diameter of 118mm, and a height of 152mm. The green honeycomb structures obtained from the individual batch Nos. 1-37 were dried, and fired under the conditions indicated in TABLES 2-4, 2-5 and 2-6.

The thus fired honeycomb structures were evaluated or tested in terms of the thermal expansion coefficient within a temperature range of 40-800°C, porosity, percentage of the 100 $\mu$ m or larger pores, percentage of the 10-50 $\mu$ m pores, amount of the crystallized cordierite, and thermal shock resistance. The sintered honeycomb structures were closed at one of the opposite ends, by suitable plugs as indicated at 4 in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b), whereby the corresponding filters were prepared. The filters were evaluated or tested in terms of the initial pressure loss, trapping efficiency, and operation time. The results of the evaluation and test are indicated in TABLES 3-1 through 3-4. Data in Fig. 3 represent the relationship between the operation time and the percent of the 10-50 $\mu$ m pores of the filter specimens whose trapping efficiency is 90% or more.

It will be understood from TABLES 1-1, and 3-1 through 3-4 that the filter specimens corresponding to batch Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 21 and 33 have relatively low percent values of the 10-50 $\mu$ m pores and relatively short operation times, because of a large amount of the powder particles whose size is 45 $\mu$ m or less. It will be also understood that the filter specimens corresponding to batch Nos. 17, 18, 19, 20, 28 and 37 have comparatively high percent values of the 150 $\mu$ m or larger pores and comparatively low trapping efficiency values, because of a large amount of the powder particles whose size is 100 $\mu$ m or more. It will be further understood that the filter specimens corresponding to batch Nos. 33, 34, 35, 36 and 37 have relatively small percent values of the 10-50 $\mu$ m pores and relatively short operation times, because no silica powder was used.

It will be understood that the filter specimens corresponding to batch Nos. 7, 10, 11, 14, 15, 22, 23, 28, 27, 29, 30, 31 and 32 have excellent trapping efficiency and operation time, relatively low coefficients of thermal expansion, and high thermal shock resistance (thermal destruction temperature of 850°C or higher), because of relatively small percent values of the 150 $\mu$ m or larger pores and the 45 $\mu$ m or smaller pores and the use of silica powder whose average grain size is about 30-50 $\mu$ m. It will be also understood that the filter specimens corresponding to batch Nos. 9, 13, 17, 21 and 25 do not have sufficiently long operation time, because of the use of silica powder whose average particle size is 15 $\mu$ m, and relatively large percent values of the 10-50 $\mu$ m

## EP 0 450 899 A2

pores. It will be further recognized that the filter specimens corresponding to batch Nos. 8, 12, 18 and 24 have more or less increased percent values of the 100 $\mu$ m or larger pores, more or less lowered trapping efficiency values, and comparatively high coefficients of thermal expansion, because of the use of silica powder whose average particle size is 70 $\mu$ m.

TABLE 1-1

	(wt.%)		Average Particle Size( $\mu$ m)	Chemical Composition (wt.%) - Continued*	
	> 150 $\mu$ m	< 45 $\mu$ m		Ig. loss	SiO <sub>2</sub>
Talc A	0	85	20	5.6	60.2
Talc B	0.5	60	40	5.5	60.2
Talc C	1.0	40	60	5.1	59.9
Talc D	6.0	20	80	5.5	59.7
Talc E	30.0	5	120	5.7	60.4
Calcined Talc A	1.0	40	50	0.5	63.5
Calcined Talc B	1.0	60	40	0.5	63.5
Kaoline	0	98	9	13.9	45.5
Calcined Kaoline	0	100	2	0.1	53.1
Alumina	0	100	2	0.3	-
Aluminum Hydroxide	0	100	2	34.5	-
Silica A	0.5	90	15	0.1	99.7
Silica B	1.0	60	30	0.1	99.7
Silica C	3.0	40	50	0.1	99.7
Silica D	5.0	20	70	0.1	99.7
Pore-Forming Agent	0.2	55	40	99.7	-

o Continued on TABLE 1-2 on the next page

o See NOTES on the next page.

EP 0 450 899 A2

T A B L E 1-2

	Chemical Composition (wt.%)				
	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	MgO	TiO <sub>2</sub>	Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	CaO+Na <sub>2</sub> O+K <sub>2</sub> O
Talc A	1.4	30.4	-	1.0	0.6
Talc B	1.3	30.5	-	1.0	0.5
Talc C	1.5	30.9	-	1.0	0.5
Talc D	1.2	30.6	-	1.1	0.6
Talc E	1.2	30.4	-	1.5	0.7
Calcined Talc A	1.5	32.7	-	1.0	0.8
Calcined Talc B	1.5	32.7	-	1.0	0.8
Kaoline	38.8	-	0.8	0.3	0.2
Calcined Kaoline	45.1	-	0.9	0.4	0.2
Alumina	99.5	-	-	-	0.2
Aluminum Hydroxide	65.0	-	-	-	0.2
Silica A	0.1	-	-	-	-
Silica B	0.1	-	-	-	-
Silica C	0.1	-	-	-	-
Silica D	0.1	-	-	-	-
Pore-Forming Agent	-	-	-	0.2	-

## NOTES:

- The JIS standard sieve (wet type) was used to determine: weight percent of the 150µm and larger particles; weight percent of the 45µm and smaller particles of talc; and average particle size of talc.
- The weight percents of the 45µm and smaller particles and the average particle sizes of the other components were determined by a laser diffraction method.



EP 0 450 899 A2

T A B L E 2-1

Batch No.	Preparation Composition (wt.%)						Pore-forming Agent (wt.%)
	Talc	Calcined Talc	Kaoline	Calcined Kaoline	Alumina	Aluminum Hydroxide	Silica
1	(A) 30.0	(A) 10.0	14.0	9.0	12.5	14.5	(A) 10.0
2	"	"	"	"	"	"	(B) "
3	"	"	"	"	"	"	(C) "
4	"	"	"	"	"	"	(D) "
5	(B) 30.0	"	"	"	"	"	(A) "
6	"	"	"	"	"	"	(B) "
7	"	"	"	"	"	"	(C) "
8	"	"	"	"	"	"	(D) "
9	(C) 30.0	"	"	"	"	"	(A) "
10	"	"	"	"	"	"	(B) "
11	"	"	"	"	"	"	(C) "
12	"	"	"	"	"	"	(D) "

o Continued on the next page



EP 0 450 899 A2

T A B L E 2-2

Batch No.	Preparation Composition (wt.%)							Pore-forming Agent (wt.%)
	Talc	Calcined Talc	Kaoline	Calcined Kaoline	Alumina	Aluminum Hydroxide	Silica	
13	(D) 30.0	(A) 10.0	14.0	9.0	12.5	14.5	(A) 10.0	20.0
14	"	"	"	"	"	"	(B) "	"
15	"	"	"	"	"	"	(C) "	"
16	"	"	"	"	"	"	(D) "	"
17	(E) 30.0	"	"	"	"	"	(A) "	"
18	"	"	"	"	"	"	(B) "	"
19	"	"	"	"	"	"	(C) "	"
20	"	"	"	"	"	"	(D) "	"
21	(C) 40.0	"	15.0	-	18.0	12.0	(A) 15.0	30.0
22	"	"	"	-	"	"	(B) "	"
23	"	"	"	-	"	"	(C) "	"
24	"	"	"	-	"	"	(D) "	"

o Continued on the next page

EP 0 450 899 A2

TABLE 2-3

Batch No.	Preparation Composition (wt.%)						Pore-forming Agent (wt.%)
	Talc	Calcined Talc	Kaoline	Calcined Kaoline	Alumina	Aluminum Hydroxide	Silica
25 (D) 40.0	(A) 10.0	15.0	-	-	18.0	12.0	(A) 15.0
26 "	"	"	"	"	"	"	(B) "
27 "	"	"	"	"	"	"	(C) "
28 "	"	"	"	"	"	"	(D) "
29 (C) 30.0	(B) 10.0	14.0	9.0	9.0	12.5	14.5	(B) 10.0
30 (D) "	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
31 (C) "	"	"	"	"	"	"	30.0
32 (D) "	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
33 (A) "	"	"	30.0	12.0	9.0	9.0	20.0
34 (B) "	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
35 (C) "	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
36 (D) "	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
37 (E) "	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

o Continued on the next page.

EP 0 450 899 A2

TABLE 2-4

Batch No.	wt. % of Talc, Calcined Talc and Silica		Average Particle Size ( $\mu\text{m}$ )		Firing Conditions		
	> 150 $\mu\text{m}$	< 45 $\mu\text{m}$	Talc	Silica	°/C*	Max. Temp.	Hold Time
1	0.2	38.5	28	15	50	1430°C	4 hr.
2	0.2	35.5	"	30	"	"	"
3	0.4	33.5	"	50	"	"	"
4	0.6	31.5	"	70	"	"	"
5	0.3	29.5	43	15	"	"	"
6	0.4	26.5	"	30	"	"	"
7	0.6	24.5	"	50	"	"	"
8	0.8	22.5	"	70	"	"	"
9	0.5	25.0	58	15	"	"	"
10	0.5	22.0	"	30	"	"	"
11	0.7	20.0	"	50	"	"	"
12	0.9	18.0	"	70	"	"	"

\* Average rate of rise from 1100°C to 1350°C

o Continued on the next page

EP 0 450 899 A2

TABLE 2-5

Batch No.	Wt. % of Talc, Calcined Talc and Silica			Average Particle Size (µm)		Firing Conditions		
	> 150µm	< 45µm	Talc	Silica	°C*	Max. Temp.	Hold Time	
13	2.0	19.0	73	15	50	1430	4 hr.	
14	2.0	16.0	"	30	"	"	"	
15	2.2	14.0	"	50	"	"	"	
16	2.3	12.0	"	70	"	"	"	
17	9.2	14.5	103	15	"	"	"	
18	9.2	11.5	"	30	"	"	"	
19	9.4	9.5	"	50	"	"	"	
20	9.6	7.5	"	70	"	"	"	
21	0.5	25.2	60	15	40	1410	6 hr.	
22	0.6	25.0	"	30	"	"	"	
23	0.9	22.0	"	50	"	"	"	
24	1.2	19.0	"	70	"	"	"	

\* Average rate of rise from 1100°C to 1350°C

o Continued on the next page

EP 0 450 899 A2

TABLE 2-6

Batch No.	wt.% of Talc, Calcined Talc and Silica		Average Particle Size (µm)		Firing Conditions		
	> 150µm	< 45µm	Talc	Silica	°C*	Max. Temp.	Hold Time
25	2.5	21.5	80	15	40	1410	6 hr.
26	2.6	17.0	"	30	"	"	"
27	2.9	14.0	"	50	"	"	"
28	3.2	11.0	"	70	"	"	"
29	0.5	24.0	55	30	60	1420	5 hr.
30	2.0	18.0	70	30	"	"	"
31	0.5	24.0	55	30	"	"	"
32	2.0	18.0	70	30	"	"	"
33	0.1	31.5	25	-	50	1430	4 hr.
34	0.3	23.0	40	-	"	"	"
35	0.4	18.0	55	-	"	"	"
36	1.9	12.0	70	-	"	"	"
37	9.1	7.5	100	-	"	"	"

\* Average rate of rise from 1100°C to 1350°C

EP 0 450 899 A2

T A B L E 3-1

Batch No.	Properties of Fired Honeycomb Structures				
	Thermal Expansion Coefficient ( $\times 10^{-6}/^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) (A/B)	Porosity (%) *1	100 $\mu\text{m}$ and Larger Pores (%) *2	10-50 $\mu\text{m}$ Pores (%) *2	Crystallized Cordierite (%) *3
1	0.51/0.86	52	3.0	45.0	94
2	0.53/0.90	52	3.0	47.3	94
3	0.55/0.92	53	4.3	51.5	93
4	0.78/1.25	53	5.2	53.0	94
5	0.53/0.91	52	3.3	52.0	93
6	0.65/0.95	52	4.8	63.5	93
7	0.70/1.02	53	3.9	65.1	93
8	0.90/1.25	53	10.1	63.2	92
9	0.50/0.90	52	3.0	52.0	92
10	0.55/0.93	52	3.0	69.5	92
11	0.53/1.01	53	3.5	73.1	92
12	0.65/1.20	53	11.2	63.1	92
13	0.55/1.15	52	5.2	55.0	92
14	0.59/1.19	52	6.0	72.1	93
15	0.65/1.18	50	8.1	74.1	92
16	0.81/1.41	52	11.1	63.2	92
17	0.95/1.48	50	17.1	62.1	92
18	1.15/1.51	48	16.1	63.2	91
19	1.25/1.55	49	19.9	63.1	92
20	1.30/1.59	50	22.1	57.0	91

- o Continued on the next page  
o See NOTES on the following pages.

EP 0 450 899 A2

TABLE 3-2

Batch No.	Properties of Fired Honeycomb Structures				
	Thermal Expansion Coefficient ( $\times 10^{-6}/^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) (A/B)	Porosity (%) <sup>*1</sup>	100 $\mu\text{m}$ and Larger Pores (%) <sup>*2</sup>	10-50 $\mu\text{m}$ Pores (%) <sup>*2</sup>	Crystallized Cordierite (%) <sup>*3</sup>
21	0.52/0.85	59	2.9	51.5	90
22	0.50/0.92	58	3.4	65.0	91
23	0.65/1.10	58	4.5	68.1	92
24	0.82/1.45	60	12.3	58.2	91
25	0.62/1.25	60	6.1	58.1	92
26	0.75/1.30	60	7.0	70.1	92
27	0.80/1.40	59	10.0	73.1	92
28	1.01/1.45	59	15.1	51.5	92
29	0.59/1.05	45	3.1	66.5	93
30	0.72/1.15	46	6.5	72.1	90
31	0.58/1.07	60	3.2	68.1	93
32	0.75/1.18	59	7.5	70.9	91
33	0.65/0.98	49	4.5	57.5	92
34	0.75/1.12	50	5.2	58.3	92
35	0.84/1.26	49	5.2	58.2	92
36	1.15/1.45	48	9.8	60.9	92
37	1.43/1.59	46	15.0	60.7	91

o Continued on the next page

## NOTES

<sup>\*1</sup>: Volume percent measured by mercury penetration method (true specific gravity of cordierite = 2.52)

<sup>\*2</sup>: Measured by mercury penetration method

<sup>\*3</sup>: Measured by X-ray diffraction (Quantitative determination value) (Internal standard: ZnO)



EP 0 450 899 A2

T A B L E 3-3

Batch No.	Properties of Honeycomb Filters			
	Thermal Destruction Temperature (°C) * <sup>6</sup>	Initial Pressure Loss (mmH <sub>2</sub> O) * <sup>5</sup>	Trapping Efficiency (%) * <sup>8</sup>	Operation Time (min.) * <sup>7</sup>
1	900	135	98	6
2	900	136	98	7
3	900	130	97	7
4	800	131	97	7
5	900	130	97	7
6	850	125	97	8
7	800	120	97	13
8	750	110	88	20
9	900	120	98	11
10	900	110	98	15
11	900	105	97	20
12	850	95	88	25
13	850	110	90	15
14	850	103	90	20
15	850	101	90	26
16	800	95	88	30
17	750	98	65	25
18	700	97	62	26
19	650	96	58	28
20	650	95	45	40

o Continued on the next page

EP 0 450 899 A2

T A B L E 3-4

Batch No.	Properties of Honeycomb Filters			
	Thermal Destruction Temperature (°C) * <sup>4</sup>	Initial Pressure Loss (mmH <sub>2</sub> O) * <sup>5</sup>	Trapping Efficiency (%) * <sup>6</sup>	Operation Time (min.) * <sup>7</sup>
21	900	115	98	15
22	900	110	96	20
23	850	105	97	27
24	750	95	82	35
25	850	115	91	17
26	800	105	91	24
27	800	100	90	30
28	700	93	43	40
29	850	120	97	12
30	800	110	90	18
31	850	110	95	25
32	800	100	91	31
33	850	140	99	4
34	850	135	97	5
35	750	125	96	6
36	700	120	75	18
37	650	95	50	25

## NOTES

\*<sup>4</sup>: Temperature in an electric furnace, at which the filter was destroyed upon air cooling after 1-hr heating

\*<sup>5</sup>: Room temperature = 20°C,  
Effective filter diameter = 58mm,  
Gas flow rate = 1 Nm<sup>3</sup>/min.

EP 0 450 899 A2

\*<sup>6</sup>: Gas temperature = 200°C,  
Amount of soot in the gas = 13g/hr.,  
Gas flow rate = 2.4 Nm<sup>3</sup>/min.

The efficiency values in TABLES 3-3 and 3-4 are average values at the pressure loss values 600mmH<sub>2</sub>O, 1200mmH<sub>2</sub>O, 1800mmH<sub>2</sub>O, 2400mmH<sub>2</sub>O, and 3000mmH<sub>2</sub>O.

\*<sup>7</sup>: Gas temperature = 200°C,  
Amount of soot in the gas = 13g/hr.,  
Gas flow rate = 2.4 Nm<sup>3</sup>/min.

The times indicated in TABLES 3-3 and 3-4 are time durations between the start of the gas flow and the time when the pressure loss increased to 1600mmH<sub>2</sub>O.

## EXAMPLE 2

Green cylindrical honeycomb structures each having a rib (partition wall) thickness of 430μm, 18 cells per square centimeter (cm<sup>2</sup>), a diameter of 229mm and a height of 305mm were prepared by extruding batch No. 11 prepared according to the present invention, and batch No. 35 which is a comparative example. Then, the green honeycomb structures were dried, and fired by heating them to the maximum temperature of 1420°C at a rate of 40°C/hr. and holding them for five hours at a temperature lower than the maximum temperature.

The thus prepared two sintered honeycomb structures were evaluated and tested in terms of the thermal expansion coefficient at 40-800°C, porosity, percentage of the 100μm or larger pores, percentage of the 10-50μm pores, and amount of the crystallized cordierite. The results of the evaluation and test are indicated in TABLE 4.

The sintered honeycomb structures were closed at one of the opposite ends, by suitable plugs as indicated in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b), whereby the corresponding porous ceramic honeycomb filters were produced. These filter specimens were evaluated or tested in terms of the initial pressure loss, trapping efficiency, nominal operation time, and destruction temperature when the specimens contaminated or clogged with deposited particulate matters (soot) were heated to burn out the contaminants, for reclaiming the same. The results of the evaluation and test are also indicated in TABLE 4.

It will be understood from TABLE 4 that the filter specimen corresponding to batch No. 11 according to the present invention has comparatively high trapping efficiency, comparatively long nominal operation time, reduced thermal expansion coefficient, and comparatively high thermal destruction temperature upon heating thereof for reclaiming purpose.

EP 0 450 899 A2

T A B L E 4

5

10	Batch No.	11	35
	PROPERTIES OF SINTERED HONEYCOMB STRUCTURES		
	Thermal Expansion Coefficient A Axis ( $\times 10^{-6}/40-800^{\circ}\text{C}$ )	0.52	0.82
15	B Axis	1.01	1.31
	Porosity (%) <sup>*1</sup>	52	49
	Pores (%) <sup>*2</sup> > 100 $\mu\text{m}$	3.2	5.2
20	10-50 $\mu\text{m}$	73.5	58.7
	Amount of Crystallized Cordierite <sup>*3</sup>	92	92
25	PROPERTIES OF HONEYCOMB FILTERS		
	Initial Pressure Loss (mmH <sub>2</sub> O) <sup>*4</sup>	125	133
	Trapping Efficiency (%) <sup>*5</sup>	95	95
30	Operation Time (min.) <sup>*6</sup>	500	250
	DESTRUCTION TEMPERATURE ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) <sup>*7</sup>	950	850

35 <sup>\*1</sup>: Volume percent measured by mercury penetration method  
(true specific gravity of cordierite = 2.52)

<sup>\*2</sup>: Measured by mercury penetration method

40 <sup>\*3</sup>: Measured by X-ray diffraction (Quantitative  
determination value) (Internal standard: ZnO)

45 <sup>\*4</sup>: Room temperature = 20 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ ;  
Effective filter diameter = 215mm;  
Gas flow rate = 8 Nm<sup>3</sup>/min.

<sup>\*5</sup>: Gas temperature = 200 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ ;  
Amount of soot in the gas = 13g/hr.;  
Gas flow rate = 9 Nm<sup>3</sup>/min.

50 The efficiency values in TABLE 4 are average values at  
the pressure loss values 1000mmH<sub>2</sub>O, 1500mmH<sub>2</sub>O,  
2000mmH<sub>2</sub>O, and 2500mmH<sub>2</sub>O.

55

EP 0 450 899 A2

- 5 \*6: Gas temperature = 200°C;  
Amount of soot in the gas = 13g/hr.;  
Gas flow rate = 9 Nm<sup>3</sup>/min.

10 The times indicated in TABLE 4 are time durations  
between the start of the gas flow and the time  
when the pressure loss increased to 1600mmH<sub>2</sub>O.

- 15 \*7: Amount of soot = 120g/hr.;  
Contamination gas flow rate = 9 Nm<sup>3</sup>/min.;  
Burning gas temperature = 600°C;  
Burning gas flow rate = 1.5 Nm<sup>3</sup>/min.

20 The temperatures indicated in TABLE 4 are those at  
which the filters were destroyed during temperature rise  
due to burning of the soot deposited on the filter. The  
maximum temperature of the filter reached by burning of  
the soot varies with the amount of the deposited soot.

25

#### Claims

- 30 1. A method of producing a porous ceramic honeycomb filter, comprising the steps of:  
preparing a cordierite composition including a talc powder component and a silica powder component, such that particles of said talc powder component and said silica powder component whose size is not less than 150µm constitute not more than 3% by weight of said cordierite composition, while particles of said talc and silica powder components whose size is not more than 45µm constitute not more than 25% by weight of said cordierite composition;  
35 forming a green body for said porous ceramic honeycomb filter, by using said cordierite composition;  
and  
firing said green body into said porous ceramic honeycomb filter.
- 40 2. A method according to claim 1, wherein said particles whose size is not less than 150µm constitute not more than 1% by weight of said cordierite composition, while said particles whose size is not more than 45µm constitute not more than 20% by weight of said cordierite composition.
- 45 3. A method according to claim 1 or 2, wherein said talc powder component has an average particle size of not more than 80µm.
4. A method according to any one of claims 1-3, wherein said silica powder component has an average particle size of 30-50µm.
- 50 5. A method according to any one of claims 1-4, wherein said cordierite composition further includes at least material selected from the group consisting of kaoline, calcined kaoline, alumina and aluminum hydroxide.
6. A method according to any one of claims 1-5, wherein said cordierite composition comprises 42-56% by weight of SiO<sub>2</sub>, 30-45% by weight of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and 12-16% by weight of MgO.
- 55 7. A method according to any one of claims 1-6, further comprising adding a pore-forming agent to said cordierite composition to prepare a mixture of said cordierite composition and said pre-forming agent, and wherein said green body is formed of said mixture.

## EP 0 450 899 A2

8. A method according to claim 7, further comprising adding at least one of a plasticizer and a binder to said mixture, before said green body is formed.
9. A method according to any one of claims 1-8, wherein said green body is formed by extrusion.
10. A method according to any one of claims 1-9, wherein said green body is fired at a temperature between 1380°C and 1440°C.

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

40

45

50

EP 0 450 899 A2




FIG. 1A




FIG. 1B




FIG. 2A




FIG. 2B



EP 0 450 899 A2

**FIG.3**

